Parallel evolution of insular Olea europaea subspecies based on geographical structuring of plastid DNA variation and phenotypic similarity in leaf traits
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Strong geographical isolation within the distribution of a species may result in differentiated lineages exhibiting conspicuous phenotypic differences. In the present paper, we investigate whether plastid and phenotypic variation is geographically structured within the Olea europaea complex in Macaronesia, which comprises three subspecies separated by oceanic barriers: maroccana (south-west Morocco), guanchica (Canary Islands) and cerasiformis (Madeira archipelago). Plastid variation showed a significant pattern of geographical structure (N ST > G ST = 0.56), because of the lack of shared haplotypes among subspecies and the presence of a single and private haplotype in the eastern Canary Islands. Such a clear molecular structure, however, was not reflected in a congruent pattern of phenotypic differentiation among taxa in leaf morpho-functional traits. Despite the substantial genetic differentiation observed between the subspecies from Madeira and the Canary Islands, they displayed both higher leaf size (leaf area) and specific leaf area (leaf surface area-to-mass ratio) than their continental counterparts, probably as a result of oceanic conditions in subtropical environments. Unlike most of the plant groups previously studied in the Macaronesian region, the lineages of Olea illustrate how low phenotypic differentiation can be also related to a clear molecular differentiation in oceanic island enclaves. © 2010 The Linnean Society of London, Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 2010, 162, 54–63.


INTRODUCTION

Geographical races (including subspecies) provide challenging opportunities for studying the mechanisms by which molecular and phenotypic diversity are distributed across geographical areas (Brochmann et al., 1995; Chiang et al., 2006; Phillimore & Owens, 2006). Phenotypic differentiation may have little relationship to the degree of genetic divergence between closely related taxa. Extensive morphological variation can be achieved through a modest number of genetic changes, whereas marked genetic differentiation may be obscured by similar phenotypic expression (Mayr, 2004). Limits to dispersal and selective environmental pressures, however, relate both molecular and phenotypic variation to geographical patterns, even when subtle differentiation exists at the infraspecific level (Brochmann et al., 1995; Jorgensen, Richardson & Andersson, 2006; Rubio de Casas et al., 2009).

The representatives of the Olea europaea L. (Oleaceae) complex in the Macaronesian region (sensu Sunding, 1979) constitute an interesting study case for analysing the distribution of genetic and phenotypic variation on a limited geographical scale. Three
subspecies of anemophilous and bird-dispersed plants coexist in an area comprising a small location in north-west Morocco (subsp. maroccana (Greuter & Burdet) P.Vargas et al.) and two oceanic archipelagos: Madeira (subsp. cerasiformis (Webb & Berth.) Kunk. & Sund.) and the Canary Islands (subsp. guanchica P.Vargas et al.). Despite the climatic differences between the mainland and the archipelagos of this area (Médail & Quézel, 1999; Médail, Quézel & Besnard, 2001), little morphological differentiation has been reported among these three taxa (Médail et al., 2001; Vargas et al., 2001). Lack of conspicuous morphological differentiation and unknown reproductive barriers have led researchers to suggest a subspecific treatment for these taxa (Médail et al., 2001; Vargas et al., 2001) and geographical location is recognized as the main criterion for taxon distinction (for a taxonomic revision, see Green, 2002). Molecular data showed that these subspecies share an exclusive plastid sublineage which is closely related to other mainland subspecies, namely europaea (Mediterranean Basin) and laperrinei (Batt. & Trab.) Cif. (Central Sahara) (Besnard, Rubio de Casas & Vargas, 2007). Indeed, a recent study conducted with fingerprinting markers showed that populations of subsp. guanchica sampled on the eastern Canary islands were more related to subsp. europaea than to western subsp. guanchica (García-Verdugo et al., 2009a). Nevertheless, specific tests for the structure of plastid variation in this area have not yet been attempted and might result in a complex pattern because of hybridization events among these subspecies (Besnard et al., 2007; García-Verdugo et al., 2009a).

In the present study, we focus on the Macaronesian subspecies in order to gain more insight into the patterns of plastid and phenotypic variation within the O. europaea complex. Most of the taxonomic traits that have been used for taxon delimitation in this complex are related to leaf biometrics (e.g. leaf length, width or length/width ratio; Médail et al., 2001; Vargas et al., 2001). Leaf biometric measurements are easy to perform, but they may show poor resolution for taxa discrimination (Barone et al., 1994) and their relevance in plant performance is not always clear (e.g. Rubio de Casas et al., 2009). Leaf functional traits, in contrast, are more suitable for investigating the phenotypic acclimation of plants to environmental conditions, because they are strongly linked to plant performance and, consequently, are thought to be of a marked evolutionary relevance (Geber & Griffen, 2003). In particular, leaf size and specific leaf area (leaf surface area-to-mass ratio) are two attributes of great importance for plant performance because variation in these traits allows adaptation to the range of environmental conditions that occur in contrasting habitats (Reich, Walters & Ellsworth, 1997; Ackerly et al., 2002). We could therefore expect that subtropical conditions in different Macaronesian archipelagos may have promoted a similar phenotypic expression between insular taxa (Brochmann et al., 1995). Indeed, it is expected that they are more closely related to the laurid leaf phenotype typically described under subtropical environments (e.g. larger leaves; Pérez Latorre & Cabezudo, 2006) than to the sclerophyllous leaf phenotypes displayed under Mediterranean environments (Rubio de Casas et al., 2009). We specifically test (1) whether the strong geographical isolation associated with these subspecies is reflected in a marked phyllogeographical structure of plastid DNA, (2) whether similar oceanic environments have led to a similar expression in two relevant functional traits (leaf size and specific leaf area) and (3) to what extent differentiation in plastid lineages is associated with differentiation at the phenotypic level.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Distribution and Sampling

The O. europaea complex in Macaronesia comprises three subspecies with a clear geographical delimitation (Vargas et al., 2001; Green, 2002): O. europaea subsp. guanchica is a diploid occurring only in the Canary Islands, O. europaea subsp. cerasiformis is a tetraploid endemic to the two Madeiran islands and O. europaea subsp. maroccana is a hexaploid occupying a total area of a few hundred hectares in the western High Atlas. Seed dispersal in this group is mainly mediated by birds, a feature that may allow long-distance connection among taxa. In this study, we analysed a set of populations throughout the geographical range of each Macaronesian subspecies (guanchica, cerasiformis and maroccana, hereafter). As cerasiformis and maroccana are narrow endemics, only three populations were considered for each of these two subspecies, whereas one to six populations were sampled on each Canarian island for guanchica. A previous study detected a genetic split within guanchica (García-Verdugo et al., 2009a) and we therefore considered two different areas within the Canary archipelago: populations on the eastern islands (GUA_E) and those on the western ones (GUA_W). The islands of El Hierro and Lanzarote were not included in this study, given the rarity of wild olive trees on these two islands.

To represent the closest relative (O. europaea subsp. europaea) of the Macaronesian subspecies, individuals from 17 locations distributed throughout the western Mediterranean (Morocco, the Iberian
Peninsula and the Balearic Islands) were also included. Thus, a total of 98 individuals were analysed for plastid variation, including guanchica (GUA_E, \( N = 26 \); GUA_W, \( N = 32 \)), cerasiformis (\( N = 12 \)), maroccana (\( N = 11 \)) and europaea (\( N = 17 \)). In addition, two or three shoots were collected from upper, south-facing parts of some of the trees included in the genetic analysis (\( N = 100 \)) in order to analyse the phenotypic expression of leaf traits (for a detailed list of the sample sizes considered for each analysis, see Supporting Information, Table S1). Some individuals of subsp. laperrinii were also included in this dataset.

**Molecular data**

Total genomic DNA was extracted from c. 30 mg silica dried leaf material using the DNEasy Plant Minikit (Qiagen Inc.) following the manufacturer’s protocol. In a first step, molecular variation in a subset of 16 samples was screened for 17 plastid markers based either on previously published markers (Weising & Gardner 1999; Besnard, Rubio de Casas & Vargas, 2003) or on new markers isolated through sequencing additional plastid regions as part of a wider study in the *O. europaea* complex in Spain (A. Forrest & P. Vargas, unpubl. data). Five of these 16 markers were selected for the present study as they were polymorphic (indels and/or length variation in microsatellite motifs): three have been published previously (trnSG-indel1 and trnSG-indel2 in the trnS-trnG spacer, (Besnard et al., 2003); ccmp5 in the atpB-rbcL spacer, Weising & Gardner, 1999) and two were newly developed in *Olea*. Primers and PCR conditions can be consulted for the published primers in the respective publications; those for the new markers are given in the Supporting Information (Table S2). One of each pair of primers was labelled with a fluorescent dye and fragments were visualized on an Applied Biosystems 377 DNA Sequencer and scored manually using GeneMapper software. Each size variant allele had been sequenced in *O. europaea* to confirm correspondence between polymorphism and fragment size and some additional sequences were generated for *guanchica* for this study. Polymorphisms were scored as multi-state characters (length of microsatellites and indels) and used to define a plastid haplotype for each sample (see also Supporting Information, Table S3).

**Phenotypic data**

A total of 661 leaves collected from 100 individuals were examined for phenotypic traits (see also Supporting Information, Table S1). For each leaf, we measured three traits related to leaf biometrics that were used in earlier studies of the *O. europaea* complex: leaf length, width and length/width ratio (Médail et al., 2001; Vargas et al., 2001; Green, 2002). In addition, two functional traits, leaf size (area) and specific leaf area (leaf area-to-mass ratio) were measured to investigate the phenotypic response of plants to environmental conditions. Measurements were only taken from current-year, fully expanded leaves. Two or three leaves were randomly chosen from each shoot and scanned. Leaves were then oven-dried at 65 °C for 48 h and weighed. Images of scanned leaves were analysed with Scion Image software (Scion Corp., MD, USA) and leaf length, leaf width and area were calculated. Specific leaf area (SLA, hereafter) was calculated as the ratio between leaf area and mass.

**Data analysis**

Haplotype relatedness was investigated by constructing a median joining network (Bandelt, Forster & Röhl, 1999) with the software NETWORK 4.5 (http://www.fluxus-engineering.com). As the input file for this software only allows two digits per allele, each character state was coded by two digits but keeping the differences in length between multi-state characters. Characters were not weighted, assuming the same relevance for each marker (see NETWORK 4.5, user guide). In addition, the degree of geographical structuring of plastid variation was investigated using two approaches. First, \( N_{ST} \) was compared with \( G_{ST} \) to determine whether related haplotypes were clustered by geographical distribution, following the procedure described in Pons & Petit (1996) and implemented in PERMUT-CPSSR 2.0 (http://www.pierroton.inra.fr//genetics/labo/Software). Second, populations were grouped by geographical region in a hierarchical AMOVA performed using ARLEQUIN 3.0 (Excoffier et al., 1992).

All the phenotypic traits were analysed with a principal component analysis (PCA) based on the correlation data matrix, to control for any correlation between the variables. In addition, Mahalanobis distances were calculated between all possible pairs of populations and subspecies using the software XLSTAT (Addinsoft, France).

To investigate the similarity in phenotypic expression between geographical areas, each functional trait was analysed in an ANOVA with the factor ‘Subspecies’ nested within ‘Environment’ ‘Environment’ grouped those subspecies occurring on oceanic (*guanchica*, east and west, and cerasiformis) or mainland (*maroccana, europaea* and *laperrinii*) locations. When significant differences (\( P < 0.05 \)) were detected for a factor, Tukey tests were used to determine them.

Lastly, Mantel tests were performed in order to assess the association between genetic or phenotypic variation and geographical distance and to explore the association between genetic and phenotypic variation. Four matrices were constructed, one based on
phenotypic Mahalanobis distances among all the Macaronesian populations (PHE; see Nielsen et al., 2003 for a similar approach), another based on plastid genetic distances (PLAST) as calculated in ARLEQUIN 3.0, a third based on AFLP (nuclear, NUCL) distances from a previous study on the same populations (García-Verdugo et al., 2009a) and, lastly, another based on relative geographical distances (GEO). Comparison of plastid and nuclear results of Mantel tests allowed us to determine whether plastid or nuclear differentiation is more related to phenotypic expression (see Nielsen et al., 2003; Rubio de Casas et al., 2009). The level of significance was assigned after 1000 permutation tests, as implemented in GENALEX 6 (Peakall & Smouse, 2006).

RESULTS
PLASTID DNA VARIATION
Molecular variation in the five plastid regions yielded a total of 19 haplotypes (see also Supporting Information, Table S3). Five haplotypes were found in samples from the western Mediterranean region and the other 14 within the Macaronesian region, which extends the number of haplotypes (10) previously identified (Besnard et al., 2007). Four haplotypes were detected in south-west Morocco, three in Madeira and seven in the Canarian archipelago (Fig. 1). None of the haplotypes was present in more than one geographical area. The haplotype network showed a close relationship among the Macaronesian subspecies and missing haplotypes were virtually absent in internal positions of the network (Fig. 1). Haplotypes C, D, E, F and G were exclusively found in the western Canaries, whereas a single haplotype (B) was the only one found in all those individuals sampled on the eastern islands. In addition, all haplotypes found in maroccana and cerasiformis constituted a separate clade, that was closely related to haplotype B (eastern Canaries).

The $N_{ST}$ (0.67) was higher than $G_{ST}$. Permutation analysis computed a mean $N_{ST}$ value of 0.55, almost
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**Figure 1.** Geographical location and sampling of the Macaronesian *Olea* subspecies, showing the haplotype frequencies of each subspecies/island considered (a, b). Below, haplotype network based on a median joining procedure (c) in which each haplotype is represented by a code and a colour/pattern. Closed bars between haplotypes represent single step mutations, open bars represent indels and the small black dot represents a missing haplotype.
identical to the observed $G_{ST}$ value (0.56), with less than 5% of permuted $N_{ST}$ values greater than the observed $N_{ST}$ value. This result suggested that groups of related haplotypes were restricted to particular geographical areas in the Macaronesian region. Furthermore, AMOVA revealed that a considerable proportion of the genetic variance was partitioned among geographical areas ($F_{CT} = 0.51$, $P < 0.001$; Table 1) and, to a lesser extent, among populations within each area ($F_{SC} = 0.30$, $P < 0.001$).

**Table 1.** Hierarchical analysis of molecular variance based on plastid DNA markers among geographical areas (Madeira/Western Canaries/Eastern Canaries/south-west Morocco), among populations within areas and among individuals within populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>Variance components</th>
<th>% Var</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>$\Phi$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Among areas</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.37</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$\Phi_{CT} = 0.51$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Among populations within areas</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$\Phi_{SC} = 0.30$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within populations</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>21.25</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.001$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each island of the Canary archipelago was considered as a population.
d.f., degrees of freedom; % Var, percentage of variation.

Figure 2. Principal components analysis of five morpho-functional traits in insular (open symbols) and mainland (closed symbols) groups. The percentage of variance explained by each component is shown next to the axis.

identical to the observed $G_{ST}$ value (0.56), with less than 5% of permuted $N_{ST}$ values greater than the observed $N_{ST}$ value. This result suggested that groups of related haplotypes were restricted to particular geographical areas in the Macaronesian region. Furthermore, AMOVA revealed that a considerable proportion of the genetic variance was partitioned among geographical areas ($F_{CT} = 0.51$, $P < 0.001$; Table 1) and, to a lesser extent, among populations within each area ($F_{SC} = 0.30$, $P < 0.001$).

**PHENOTYPIC VARIATION**

Principal component analysis showed that insular and mainland subspecies displayed leaf phenotypes that are partially distinguishable when a combination of morpho-functional traits is used (Fig. 2). Axis 1 accounted for 46.4% of the variance and separated most of the insular samples from the mainland ones because of the contribution of variables such as leaf area (loading factor: $-0.95$) and SLA ($-0.64$). Axis 2 explained 38.4% of the variance and related *cerasiformis* to *marocana* and *laperrinei*, because of the contribution of variables such as leaf length/width ratio (loading factor: $-0.96$) and leaf length ($-0.83$).

When functional traits were considered individually, nested ANOVA showed that both leaf size and SLA were significantly higher in the insular environments than those displayed by the mainland subspecies (Fig. 3). Differences within environments were only because of differences in phenotypic expression among mainland subspecies, but not among insular subspecies. Thus, *laperrinei* had a smaller leaf size than *marocana* and *europaea*, whereas *marocana* displayed smaller SLA values than the other subspecies. There were no differences in these traits between
individuals sampled on the eastern and the western Canary Islands, nor was there a significant difference between plants from the Madeiran and Canarian archipelagos. This result was also reflected when Mahalanobis distances were calculated. Those groups sampled on the oceanic islands (GUA_E, GUA_W and CER) showed the minimum phenotypic distance (minimum Mahalanobis distance = 1.50; maximum Mahalanobis distance = 3.95, Table 2). The most similar mainland subspecies to the island populations was *europaea*, with a minimum distance of 5.60 to eastern populations of *guanchica*.

**Table 2.** Pairwise Mahalanobis distances between groups based on five phenotypic leaf traits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CER</th>
<th>GUA_E</th>
<th>GUA_W</th>
<th>MAR</th>
<th>EUR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CER</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUA_E</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUA_W</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR</td>
<td>9.41</td>
<td>13.03</td>
<td>15.58</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>9.41</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Distances between insular groups are in bold type.

CER, *cerasiformis*; EUR, *europaea*; GUA_E, *guanchica* (eastern islands); GUA_W, *guanchica* (western islands); MAR, *maroccana*.

Geographical structure of plastid DNA in Macaronesian olive trees

Our analyses showed that plastid DNA variation is structured within the taxa of *O. europaea* endemic to the Macaronesian region. Structuring of haplotypic diversity in particular geographical areas, as supported by the present study, indicates a high differentiation among taxa (Schaal et al., 1998). In the case of the Macaronesian *O. europaea* complex, both GST (0.55) and AMOVA (*F*<sub>CT</sub> = 0.51) indices revealed substantial differentiation among groups for plastid variation (Petit et al., 2005) and comparison of *N*<sub>ST</sub> and *G*<sub>ST</sub> confirmed the geographical structuring of related haplotypes. As expected, the level of genetic differentiation for maternally inherited markers in the present study clearly exceeded that revealed by biparentally inherited markers in these populations (*F*<sub>ST</sub> = 0.24; García-Verdugo et al., 2009a) because of the lower effective population size associated with plastid DNA (Birky, Maruyama and Fuerst, 1983; Petit et al., 2005).

Genetic structuring of plastid variation in angiosperms has typically been explained by the contemporary factors determining seed dispersal and the historical patterns of relationship among the groups studied (Schaal et al., 1998; Avise, 2009). As inferred from our results, oceanic barriers and geographical distance have probably hindered seed dispersal between the subspecies of *O. europaea*. Lack of gene flow between *cerasiformis* and *guanchica* had been previously suggested (Hess et al. 2000; García-Verdugo et al., 2009a) and was strongly supported in the present study. In contrast, the haplotype distribu-
tion found within the Canarian archipelago could be explained by recurrent seed dispersal between islands, given the smaller oceanic barriers at this scale. A single and private haplotype was detected in the eastern islands and, according to the haplotype network, this haplotype was closely related to mainland subspecies (europea and maroccana). Genetic affinities between eastern Canarian populations and north-west Africa in Olea are in agreement with the botanical and ecological similarities between these two areas (Sunding, 1979) and reflect the fact that insularity itself does not represent a strong barrier to seed dispersal in certain plant groups. In addition, a close relationship between eastern Canarian and mainland populations is also suggested by the haplotype network, in which all the haplotypes detected in the hexaploid maroccana (north-west Africa) were positioned between those of tetraploid cerasiformis and the eastern diploid guanchica. This result suggests that historical patterns of hybridization between these taxa (allopolyploidization) may also explain the genetic affinities detected between mainland and insular populations (see also García-Verdugo et al., 2009a).

**PHENOTYPIC SIMILARITY IN OCEANIC ENVIRONMENTS**

The analysis of phenotypic variation revealed a high similarity between the subspecies of O. europea occurring on oceanic islands, despite the genetic differences between them, i.e. ploidy and both nuclear and plastid diversity (Besnard et al., 2007; García-Verdugo et al., 2009a, and current results). Similar environmental pressures, however, lead populations to exhibit a common phenotype, although they display different ploidy (Lumaret & Barrientos, 1990) or unrelated haplotypes (Foster et al., 2007). Presumably, populations in Madeira and the Canary Islands occur in similar habitats ( Médail et al., 2001; Terral et al., 2004), favouring the expression of a similar leaf phenotype.

Leaf size and SLA are functional traits driving plant acclimation to multiple environmental factors such as water availability, light exposure or pressures from herbivory (Bowen & van Vuren, 1997; Reich et al., 1997; Ackerly et al., 2002). In the present study, phenotypic similarity between archipelagos for these two traits was associated with significant differentiation from the mainland taxa. Oceanic environments preserve plants from exposure to unfavourable conditions experienced in mainland areas, such as higher temperature oscillation, variation in relative humidity or pressure from ungulates (Bowen & van Vuren, 1997; Cronk, 1997). In addition, subtropical conditions in the Madeiran and Canarian archipelagos are also characterized by higher water availability and shorter dry periods than those experienced in mainland areas ( Médail et al., 2001; Terral et al., 2004). Accordingly, higher leaf size and SLA in oceanic taxa probably reflect higher site productivity and the occurrence of fewer stress factors.

The present study cannot unambiguously identify the evolutionary mechanisms responsible for the observed pattern in leaf functional traits. Levels of genetic variation found in the plastid genome and those reported with fingerprinting markers (Lumaret et al., 2004; García-Verdugo et al., 2009a) rule out genetic drift as a plausible explanation. Thus, selection and/or environmentally induced (phenotypic plasticity) mechanisms might be involved in such phenotypic expression. A recent study, however, showed that four of six guanchica populations displayed a similar leaf area under greenhouse and field conditions (García-Verdugo et al., 2009b), suggesting a genetic basis for a larger leaf size and an apparently moderate implication of phenotypic plasticity. Most functional traits are thought to be under selection (Geber & Griffen, 2003) and hence parallel patterns of selection in similar environments might be responsible for phenotypic similarity between insular taxa (see also Brochmann et al., 1995; Foster et al., 2007).

**LINEAGE DIFFERENTIATION IN OLEA: BIOGEOGRAPHICAL ASPECTS**

The observed pattern of genetic and phenotypic differentiation among the Macaronesian subspecies may have been promoted by Tertiary climatic events. The split of the lineages considered here from the lineage formed by subsp. cuspidata (Wall. ex G.Don) Cif.
(primarily distributed in subtropical areas of Africa) appears to have occurred approximately 6 Myr ago, coinciding with the aridification of African midlatitudes (Besnard et al., 2009). Most of the Macaronesian islands had already emerged at that period, offering populations of *Olea* an oceanic climate buffered against long-term climatic extremes and close to the original subtropical environments experienced by the ancestors of *O. europaea* (Terral et al., 2004). Insular isolation and increased aridification on the mainland probably enhanced fragmentation and restricted gene flow among *Olea* populations (Besnard et al., 2007). Thus, lack of genetic connectivity and contrasting climatic conditions led populations to diverge both genetically and phenotypically. Contemporary expression of functional traits such as leaf size, SLA (analysed in the present study), leaf scales (Besnard et al., 2009) and vessel conductivity (Terral et al., 2004) support the hypothesis that mainland subspecies adapted progressively to environmental conditions less favourable than those experienced by insular taxa. Island plants, in turn, acquired leaf phenotypes more appropriate to subtropical conditions, probably resembling those expressed by *Olea* ancestors in their original subtropical habitats. Nevertheless, phenotypic differentiation across geographical areas is typically interpreted in terms of adaptation (Brochmann et al., 1995; Jorgensen et al., 2006), but differentiation may also be the result of maladaptive patterns. Restricted movement of genes would prevent the expression of adequate phenotypic solutions (reviewed in Dlugosch & Parker, 2008), resulting in phenotypic differentiation among populations. In the case of *Olea*, however, a maladaptive scenario appears less likely than an adaptive scenario: restricted gene flow is supported among subspecies, but appears to be substantial within geographical areas (García-Verdugo et al., 2009a), and the pattern of phenotypic differentiation is congruent with an adaptive response to the environmental conditions of each area, as discussed above.

In summary, our results suggest that strong isolation in *Olea* led to genetic structuring of populations. Lineage differentiation as inferred from plastid variation allows the study of phylogeographical patterns, but appears to be a poor predictor of phenotypic differentiation (see also Percy et al., 2008). Thus, phenotypic similarity between genetically differentiated taxa can be achieved when they experience similar environmental conditions. This pattern of parallel evolution in *Olea* is contrary to that described in most of the Macaronesian lineages previously studied, in which high phenotypic diversification is often related to moderate to low levels of genetic differentiation in neutral markers (e.g. Carine et al., 2004 and references therein). Further studies should be conducted in a larger number of Macaronesian lineages showing low diversification and widespread distributions (e.g. *Periploca* L., *Rubia* L., *Pistacia* L., *Juniperus* L.) for a non-biased understanding of the pattern of phenotypic and molecular evolution in oceanic biotas.
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**Table S2.** Primer sequences and PCR conditions for amplification of plastid regions *psbE-petL* and *rps16-trnQ*. PCR conditions: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 25 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 55 °C for 30 s and extension at 72 °C for 30 s, followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 30 min followed by storage at 4 °C.
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